

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

3COM CORP., No C-03-2177 VRW

Plaintiff, ORDER

v

REALTEK SEMICONDUCTOR CORP.,

Defendant

/

The court has received a letter dated November 27, 2007 from counsel for Realtek which "moves to amend [Realtek's] responses to 3Com's Requests for Admission ("RFAs")." Upon initial consideration, it was unclear to the court that a motion was necessary as Realtek had already amended certain of its responses, and the court denied leave. Doc #520. After learning that 3Com intends to bind Realtek to its unamended responses, however, it appears that a motion is necessary under FRCP 36(b).

Realtek is GRANTED leave to file the requested motion.

IT IS SO ORDERED.



VAUGHN R WALKER
United States District Chief Judge